





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/814,179	03/22/2001	Tac-Wan Kim	0609.4910002/JAG/JUK	8573
7590 01/07/2002 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN AND FOX, LLP 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER  LANDSMAN, ROBERT	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647 DATE MAILED: 01/07/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	pplicant(s) IM ET AL.
09/814.179 KI	IM ET AL.
Offic Action Summary Examiner A	rt Unit
TODOR Editionian	647
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corr	respondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S)	FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days willow of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (3 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	filed  Il be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. 35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status Contambor 2001	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 September 2001</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	ecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosciosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Exami	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	(1) (2)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (t).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been receing 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 a	ived.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (I	PTO-413) Paper No(s) stent Application (PTO-152)

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16 and 41, drawn to a method of identifying agents to treat neurodegenerative diseases, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
- II. Claims 17-22 and 34-36, drawn to a method of treating neurodegenerative diseases in a subject, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- III. Claim 23, drawn to a method of identifying a TRP which increases CCE, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claim 24, drawn to a method of identifying inhibitors of CCE using SKF96365, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- V. Claims 25-33 and 37-40, drawn to a method of identifying modulators of amyloid  $\beta$  peptide A $\beta$ 42, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-V are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires a non-coextensive search because of different starting materials, process steps and goals.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/814,179

Art Unit: 1647

C. A telephone call was made to Judith Kim on December 27, 2001 to request an oral election to the

Page 3

above restriction, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR § 1.48(b)

and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17 (h).

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600

December 27, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800